Using Non-superconducting Fault Current Limiter as Inrush Current Limiter
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Abstract
In this paper, inrush current limitation of transformer using a bridge type fault current limiter (FCL) as inrush current limiter (ICL) is proposed. The proposed ICL consists of three single phase sets of diode bridge, small non-superconductor and semiconductor switch parallel with a resistor. Because of quick damping of inrush current by resistance, this topology inserts a resistance in power system. By simple control circuit and fast operation of the proposed ICL, the maximum peak value of inrush current decreases in an acceptable level. Using small value of non-superconducting dc reactor reduces voltage drop on the FCL as inrush current limiter (ICL) and construction cost. PSCAD/EMTDC software is used for getting simulation results. These results show good capability of the proposed ICL to limit the inrush current of transformers.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The magnitude of transformer inrush current is several times higher than normal load current, which can result in power system problems such as damage and decreased life expectancy of the transformers or malfunction of the protective relays. Furthermore, the magnetic stress produced by the inrush current may destroy mechanical structure [1-3]. However, in the power systems, reduction of the transformer inrush current is important because these problems may be substantially avoided. References include many approaches to controlling inrush current [4-6].

Controlling the switching-on angle suffers from uncertainty factors in circuit breaker (e.g. springs), remanent flux, measurement of instantaneous magnitude of residual flux and direction at the instant of transformer excitation [4, 5].

Another idea is using a virtual air-gap which its equivalent thickness is controllable. It needs an auxiliary winding inside the magnetic core. A dc current is injected in auxiliary winding to make a local magnetic saturation with the permeability closed to $\mu_0$. So, the saturated zone is similar to an air-gap. Inserting the virtual air-gap inside the magnetic core reduces the remanent flux and decreases the peak value of inrush current [6]. However, this idea needs a dc current source and result in complex design of transformer and increasing the cost. In addition, the auxiliary winding is redundant after startup mode of transformer.

Resistor insertion is one of the good ideas which limits inrush current [1, 2, 7]. Decreasing of inrush decay time constant reduces inrush current transient time, effectively [4, 8]. In this method, a fix resistor enters circuit. High saturation flux and maximum residual flux values require a higher resistor value to achieve the desired inrush current reduction rate. But some restriction exists which are high temperature of resistor at inrush current limitation mode and using low value of resistor because of high power losses.

On the other hands, in some references [1, 2], resistor type superconducting FCLs (SFCLs) are used as inrush current limiter (ICL). Because of high technology and construction cost of superconductor, these devices are not commercially available. In addition, in [9] for hybrid SFCL, optimal value of resistor is investigated for inrush current limiting aim. In [9], to reach optimal value of resistor, two factors are considered:

1) Acceptable inrush current level;
2) Low voltage drop on the SFCL in current limiting state because of cooling problems.

So, in the SFCLs, voltage drop on the SFCL is an important factor because of temperature of superconductor. High value of resistor causes high voltage drop on the SFCL. Although, low value of resistor reduces voltage drop on the SFCL, however, it is not capable to limit the transformer inrush current. Considering low voltage drop on the SFCL and acceptable inrush current value, the value of resistor is calculated [9].

In [10], non-superconductor dc reactor type of ICL is presented. The value of dc reactor is considered large which causes distortion on load voltage in the normal operation of the power system. So, total harmonic distortion (THD) will have large value which it is not acceptable for sensitive loads. In addition, in [10], fault current limiting is mentioned the other ability of the proposed ICL. We must consider this note that the proposed ICL in [10] is not capable to limit the fault current for long time duration of fault. In this condition, because of dc reactor charging, dc reactor is bypassed after several cycles of the power system frequency (depends on the value of dc reactor).

In this paper, the proposed ICL is used to reduce the inrush current of transformers in the power system. In initial cycles of transformer energizing, by inserting a resistor, the inrush current is limited to an acceptable value. The proposed structure consists of three sets of a diode bridge, a small non-superconductor dc reactor and a resistor that is parallel with a semiconductor switch. In this structure, it is not necessary to measure the power-on angle of circuit breaker and residual flux. Other advantages of the proposed structure to limit the inrush current are simple control circuit, fast and reliable operation (because of using semiconductor switch) and no effect in the normal operation of the power system (because of using small dc reactor). It is need to note that the current limiting future of the proposed structure for transient stability improvement as FCL is investigated in [11] and [12]. The PSCAD/EMTDC software is
used to investigate the operation of the proposed ICL in the power system and simulation results are analyzed.

2. THE MODEL OF TRANSFORMER AND OPERATION PRINCIPLES OF THE PROPOSED ICL

In this section, model of transformer and related equations together with operation of the proposed ICL are presented.

2.1. The Model of Transformer

Fig. 1 is used as a general T model of the transformer. In this figure, the list of symbols is expressed as follow:

\[ r_t : \text{Resistance of primary winding;} \]
\[ L_t : \text{Leakage inductance of the primary winding;} \]
\[ r_s : \text{Resistance of secondary windings, referred to primary side;} \]
\[ L_s : \text{Leakage inductance of secondary windings, referred to the primary side;} \]
\[ i_m : \text{Magnetizing inductance;} \]
\[ U_t : \text{Primary terminal voltage;} \]
\[ U_s : \text{Secondary terminal voltage, referred to primary side;} \]
\[ i_t : \text{Line current of primary side;} \]
\[ i_s : \text{Line current of secondary side, referred to primary side.} \]
\[ i_m : \text{Magnetizing current;} \]

In no load condition, when the transformer is energized, because of core saturation in the transformer, current with high level passes from the primary side. In this state, considering Fig. 1, we have:

\[ U_t = u_w \sin(\omega t + \alpha) = r_i i_t + L_i \frac{di_t}{dt} + n_t \frac{d\phi_{\text{ind}}}{dt} \]  

(1)

where:
\[ \omega : \text{Angular frequency;} \]
\[ u_w : \text{The peak of primary terminal voltage;} \]
\[ \alpha : \text{Phase of } U_t \text{ at energizing instant;} \]
\[ n_t : \text{Number of winding turns in the primary side;} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{ind}} : \text{Flow of the primary windings.} \]

An approximation is necessary to simplify the calculation. It is obtained when the voltage drops in \( r_t \) and \( L_t \) are ignored because of its negligible value for large transformer. As a result:

\[ U_t = u_w \sin(\omega t + \alpha) = n_t \frac{d\phi_{\text{ind}}}{dt} = n_t A \frac{dB}{dt} \]  

(2)

with initial value as follow:

\[ B(t = 0) = B_i \]  

(3)

where \( A_c, B \) and \( A_r \) are cross section of core, flux density and remanent flux density, respectively. With solving Eq. (2):

\[ \begin{aligned}
B(t) &= B_i \left( \cos \alpha - \cos(\alpha t + \alpha) \right) + B_r \\
B_r &= \frac{u_m}{\omega n_i A_c}
\end{aligned} \]  

(4)

Equation (4) shows the flux density of the transformer at energizing condition. Maximum value for inrush current at \( t = \pi/\omega \) occurs when the value of \( \alpha \) is zero. So, we have:

\[ B_{\text{max}} = 2B_r + B_i \]  

(5)

If we assume that magnetic sheets are saturated in \( B_{\text{sat}} \), in no load condition, the maximum value for inrush current \( (i_{\text{inr, max, load}}) \) at \( t = \pi/\omega \) is equal to:

\[ i_{\text{inr, max, load}} = \frac{10}{4\pi n_i A_c} (2B_r + B_i - B_{\text{sat}}) \]  

(6)

where \( I \) and \( A_c \) are length of magnetic path in air and cross section of the air core, respectively.

The inrush current magnitude and inrush decay time constant are reduced with increasing of the value of resistance that enters the line current pass.

The transformer inrush currents are shown by the high saturation of the iron core during the switching-in of the transformer in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 shows that remanence flux density in the core at the moment of switch on increases inrush current. Flux density is generated as the results of applied voltage; it is then cross-plotted with flux density-transformer current characteristics to show the inrush current magnitude.

![Fig. 1. General T model of the transformer](image)

![Fig. 2. The flux density-transformer current curve (saturation curve) determines the magnitude of inrush current](image)
2.2. Operation Principles of the Proposed Structure

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 show power circuit topology of the proposed structure and Equivalent single phase power circuit topology of the study system. This structure consists of a diode bridge, a small value of non-superconducting dc reactor (\(L_m\)), semiconductor switch and a resistance that is parallel with the semiconductor switch (\(R\)).

Before energizing transformer and in the normal operation of the power system, the semiconductor switch turns on and the resistor is bypassed. As mentioned above, the value of dc reactor is small and for this reason, the natural resistance of dc reactor is small. Consequently, the proposed structure has no effect in the normal operation of the power system and power losses are negligible. When the transformer is energized (breaker is closed, Fig. 3), because of core saturation in the transformer, high level current is created. When the value of this current reaches to the pre-defined value (\(I_t\) at \(t_1\) instant), the control circuit detects its and the proposed structure operates. In this condition, the semiconductor switch turns off. By this pattern, the resistor enters the current pass and limits the inrush current. Resistor inserting to limit the inrush current not only limits the inrush current in an acceptable value, but also reduces inrush decay time constant. Because of quick damping of inrush current, the semiconductor switch turns on after three cycles of power system frequency.

At no load condition, after the control circuit detects the inrush current, parallel resistor with the semiconductor switch enters the line current pass. Line current differential equation can be expressed as follow:

\[
U_1 = L_m \frac{di_1}{dt} + R i_1 + 2V_F
\]

where \(V_F\) is forward voltage drop on diodes.

Solving Eq. 7 leads to:

\[
i_1(t) = A e^{- \frac{R}{L_m} t} + B + C \sin(\alpha x + \alpha - \varphi)
\]

where:

\[
A = I_1 + \frac{2V_F}{R} \frac{u_m}{R^2 + \alpha^2 L_m^2} \sin(\alpha x + \alpha - \varphi)
\]

\[
B = -\frac{2V_F}{R} \frac{u_m}{R^2 + \alpha^2 L_m^2}
\]

\[
C = \frac{u_m}{R^2 + \alpha^2 L_m^2}
\]

\[
\varphi = \arctan \frac{L_m}{R}
\]

Considering Eq. (1) and Fig. 1, \(L_m\) (magnetizing inductance) can be defined as:

\[
L_m = n_i \frac{d\Phi_{m,req}}{di} = \frac{U_1}{di_1/dt}
\]

We know that the inrush current is concluded iron core in the transformer. Considering Eq. (10), if the iron core alternates between the saturation and non-saturation, \(L_m\) will have severe variation which cause high level inrush current in the transformer.

Operation conditions of the proposed ICL are shown in Fig 4. In the inrush current limiting mode, the semiconductor switch is OFF and the line current passes through "D1, Ldc, Resistor, D4" and "D3, Ldc, Resistor, D2" in positive (Fig. 4a) and negative (Fig. 4b) alternatives, respectively.

Fig. 3. Equivalent single phase power circuit topology of the study system

Fig. 4. The power circuit of the proposed structure

Fig. 5. The ICL operation in the inrush current limiting mode and semiconductor switch OFF state: (a) positive (b) and negative alternatives.
3. SIMULATION RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED ICL OPERATION

The power circuit topology of the study system in Fig. 3 is used for simulation. The simulation parameters are as follows:

**Voltage Source:**

\[ 220\sin(\omega t) \text{ V rms; } f = 50\text{Hz}; \]

The proposed structure parameters:

\[ r_e = 0.01\Omega, \quad L_e = 3mH; \quad R = 8\Omega; \]

\[ V_{in} = V_{sw} = 1V. \]

Transformer data:

\[ S = 5kVA; \]

Leakage reactance = 0.1 p.u.; Air core reactance = 0.1 p.u.; Magnetizing current = 2%; Transformer ratio = 1.

Load data:

\[ R_e = 10\Omega; \quad L_e = 100mH. \]

where \( r_e, V_{in} \) and \( V_{sw} \) are natural resistance of \( I_e \), forward voltage on diodes and forward voltage on semiconductor switch, respectively.

Fig. 6 shows the inrush current of transformer in no load condition without the proposed structure. The transformer is energized at \( t = 0.5s \). It is obvious that the inrush current level is several times larger than rated current of the power system equipments.

In no load condition, by using the proposed structure, when the inrush current reaches the pre-defined value \( (I_r = 20A) \), the proposed structure operates \( (t_r = 0.5071s) \) and the semiconductor switch turns off. So, the resistor enters the line current pass. Considering Fig. 7, in this condition, the proposed structure not only reduces inrush current in an acceptable value, but also, decrease time constant of line current (see Eq. (8)). Of course, considering rated power of the transformer, inrush current decay time constant is valued 0.2s.

In Fig. 8, the dc reactor and primary side current are shown in load condition without using the proposed structure. Similar to Fig. 6, high level current passes in the power system.

By using the proposed ICL in load condition, the inrush current is limited to an acceptable value (Fig. 9). As mentioned above, the semiconductor switch turns on after three cycles of the power system frequency because of quick damping of the inrush current. In addition, when the semiconductor switch turns on in the normal condition, because of using small dc reactor, the proposed structure has no effect on the normal operation of the power system.

**Fig. 6.** The primary side current in no load condition

**Fig. 7.** The dc reactor current and the primary side current of transformer in no load condition

**Fig. 8.** The primary side current of transformer in load condition, without using the proposed ICL

**Fig. 9.** The dc reactor current and the primary side current of transformer in load condition, using the proposed ICL

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper the proposed structure which is capable to control the inrush current value with a resistor is presented. When the proposed ICL operates, the semiconductor switch turns off and the resistor enters the line current pass. This value of resistor decreases inrush decay time constant and limits the inrush current in an acceptable value. Advantages of the proposed ICL are using a small value of non-superconductor dc reactor, negligible power loss, no effect on the power system in the normal operation, fast operation because of using the semiconductor switch and low construction cost. The analytical analysis to compute the maximum peak of the inrush current is presented. The PSCAD/EMTDC software is used for getting in reduction of transformer inrush current and simulation results. The simulation results validate effectiveness of the proposed ICL. In addition, the proposed ICL has good current limiting capability that was investigated in our previous publish works.
5. References


