

Environmentally Constrained Economic Dispatch Via Neural Networks

T. Yalçınöz, H. Altun

Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Eng.
Nigde University, Nigde 51100, Turkey
Fax: 388-2250112, E-mail: t.yalcinoz@ieeee.org

U. Hasan

Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Eng.
Imperial College, London SW7 2BT, UK

Abstract: Operating at absolute minimum cost can no longer be the only criterion for dispatching electric power due to increasing concern the environmental consideration. The environmentally constrained economic dispatch problem which accounts for minimization of both cost and emission is a multiple objective function problem. In this paper, an improved Hopfield neural network which was described in [1] is applied to environmentally constrained economic dispatch problem. Sample test results are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

The economic dispatch (ED) is an optimization problem to find the most economical schedule of the generating units while satisfying load demand and operational constraints. This problem has been tackled by many researchers in the past. The literature of the ED problem and its solution methods are surveyed in [2] and [3].

The generation of electricity from fossil fuel releases several contaminants, such as Sulfur Oxides, Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Dioxide, into the atmosphere. Recently the problem which has attracted much attention is pollution minimization due to the pressing public demand for clean air. Since the text of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 and similar Acts by European and Japanese governments, environmental constraints have topped the list of utility management concerns [4]. A summary of environmental/economic dispatch algorithms dating back to 1970 using conventional optimization methods has been provided [5].

Several methods have been used to represent emission levels. Kermanshahi et al. [6] used the sum of a quadratic and an exponential term. Nandi et al. [7] tried to find the best compromise between the conflicting targets of minimum cost and minimum emission by means of suitable multiobjective procedures. Granelli et al. [8] proposed an emission constrained dynamic dispatch procedure. It minimizes fuel cost during a preselected time horizon and thoroughly takes into account the environmental constraints.

Artificial neural networks (NN) are finding applications in several aspects of power system.

Application of artificial neural networks to economic dispatch has become an active research area in recent years. Kumar and Sheble [9] described a method for real-time economic dispatch using Kennedy, Chua and Lin NN. Transmission losses and demand constraints only were taken into account. The Kennedy-Chua NN was justified for linear and quadratic programming problems and the proposed method was applied to the ED problem [10]. Park et al. [11] proposed to apply a Hopfield NN to the economic dispatch problem for a piecewise quadratic cost function. King et al. [12] reported an improved Hopfield NN for the economic-environmental dispatch problem and illustrated 3-unit and 12-unit systems. The Hopfield NN and the Taboo Search technique have been applied to the environmental economic dispatch problem by Rao-Sepulveda et al. [13]. They presented a 3-unit test system to validate the proposed methods.

The environmental economic dispatch problem can be classified as a multiobjective optimization and non-linear programming problem. Standard Hopfield networks [14] have already been applied to different optimization problems. Gee et al. [15,16] discussed a new methodology to improve the performance of Hopfield networks. The authors formalized the mapping process and provided a computational method for obtaining the weights and biases for the Hopfield networks. Gee's method is quicker and more accurate and is thus more efficient than the standard Hopfield neural network method. This new mapping technique has been used for solution of large scale economic dispatch problems by Yalcinoz and Short [1]. The proposed method has achieved efficient and accurate solutions for different sizes of systems having between 3 and 240 units.

In this paper, a method using improved Hopfield neural networks [1] to solve the environmentally constrained economic dispatch problem is proposed. The proposed method is able to solve a multiobjective function. The proposed method minimizes the operation cost while SO_2 and NO_x are reduced.

2. THE PROBLEM FORMULATION

The classic economic dispatch problem aims to supply the required quantity of power at the lowest possible cost [17]. The dispatch problem can be stated mathematically as follows:

To minimize the total fuel cost at thermal plants:

$$F = \text{Min}_{P_i} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i P_i + c_i P_i^2) \quad (1)$$

subject to the equality real power balance constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P_i - P^D - P^L = 0 \quad (2)$$

where

$$P^L = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i P_i^2 \quad (3)$$

the inequality constraint of limits on the generator outputs is:

$$P_{\min,i} \leq P_i \leq P_{\max,i} \quad (4)$$

where a_i , b_i and c_i are the cost coefficients of the i -th generator and n is the number of generators committed to the operating system. P_i is the power output of the i -th generator, P^D is the load demand and P^L represents the transmission losses.

However there is a large financial beneficial from the classical dispatch strategy described above, it tends to produce high SO_2 and NO_x emissions. An alternative dispatch strategy to satisfy the environmental requirement is to minimize operation cost under environmental constraints. Emission control can be included in conventional economic dispatch by adding the environmental cost to the normal dispatch. The emissions need to be converted as an environmental cost and added to the generation cost. The objective function then becomes

$$\text{Minimize } C = w_0 F + w_1 E_S + w_2 E_N \quad (5)$$

Where E_S is the SO_2 emission function, E_N is the NO_x emission function. w_0 , w_1 and w_2 are cost, SO_2 emission and NO_x emission weights respectively.

In this paper, like fuel cost curves, the SO_2 and the NO_x curves can be expressed as follows:

$$E_S = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i + e_i P_i + f_i P_i^2) \quad (6)$$

and

$$E_N = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + h_i P_i + k_i P_i^2) \quad (7)$$

where d_i , e_i , f_i , g_i , h_i and k_i are parameters estimated on the basis of unit emissions test results.

In this model, when emission weights are equal to zero, the objective function becomes a classical

economic dispatch problem. In this economic dispatch option, units are to minimize the total system production costs. When cost weight is set to zero, the problem becomes emission minimization. In this case, units are to minimize the amount of emissions. When weights are not zero in the objective function, the problem becomes minimizing the fuel cost plus emission at the same time.

3. HOPFIELD NEURAL NETWORK

The Hopfield model [14] is a single layer recursive neural network where the output of each neuron is connected to the input of every other neuron. The energy function of the Hopfield NN, which is a quadratic function, is associated with the objective function for minimizing the optimization problem. Therefore, we must first decide how to set weights and input biases for any minimization problem. This process is called "mapping". The sum of the constraints and an objective function are given as inputs to the energy function.

In this paper, the new mapping technique for the Hopfield NN that have been described for quadratic 0-1 programming problems with linear equality and inequality constraints [16] with Abe's formulation [19] for inequality constraints is used. An efficient simulation algorithm has been used to solve the dynamic equation of the Hopfield NN where the time step has been calculated. This approach was proposed for solving the economic dispatch problem by Yalcinoz and Short [1]. In this paper, this approach is applied to the environmentally constrained economic dispatch problem.

The simple quadratic problem without inequality constraints is first considered. The feasible solution for equality constraints can be described as

$$x = T^{\text{constr}} x + s \quad (8)$$

$$\text{where } T^{\text{constr}} = I - A^{\text{eqT}} (A^{\text{eq}} A^{\text{eqT}})^{-1} A^{\text{eq}} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{and } s = A^{\text{eqT}} (A^{\text{eq}} A^{\text{eqT}})^{-1} b^{\text{eq}} \quad (10)$$

For this case, the energy function can be written as

$$E = E^{\text{obj}} + \frac{1}{2} c_o \|x - (T^{\text{constr}} x + s)\|^2 \quad (11)$$

The equality constraints have been combined into a single penalty term in the energy function. The network's weights T and input biases i^b are set as follows for satisfying the energy function (Eq. 11):

$$T = T^{\text{obj}} + c_o (T^{\text{constr}} - I) \quad (12)$$

$$i^b = i^{\text{obj}} + c_o s \quad (13)$$

For the SO₂ emission dispatch, the PM provides better solutions than the HN and the TS.

The results of the PM for the emission constrained economic dispatch are shown in Table 2. The production cost is higher than the classical ED and the emissions are higher than the emission dispatches.

The execution time of the PM for the 3-unit system is about 0.02 seconds for all cases.

Table 1. Results of PM, HN and TS

Classical Economic Dispatch (minimum cost)				
		PM	HN [13]	TS [13]
Cost (\$/hr)		8334.77	8343.506	8344.598
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)		9.0294	9.0201	9.02146
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)		0.0995	0.09863	0.09826
Losses P ^L (MW)		15.22	15.692	15.798
Power (MW)	P ₁	415.88	435.836	435.69
	P ₂	324.43	299.365	298.828
	P ₃	124.91	130.491	131.28
Emission SO ₂ Dispatch (Minimum SO ₂)				
		PM	HN [13]	TS [13]
Cost (\$/hr)		8384.44	8388.13	8403.485
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)		8.9633	8.9649	8.974
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)		0.0969	0.0965	0.09768
Losses P ^L (MW)		14.33	14.419	15.722
Power (MW)	P ₁	541.12	543.651	549.247
	P ₂	237.95	226.195	234.582
	P ₃	85.26	94.573	81.893
Emission NO _x Dispatch (Minimum NO _x)				
		PM	HN [13]	TS [13]
Cost (\$/hr)		8357.43	8363.136	8371.143
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)		8.9709	8.973	8.986
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)		0.0959	0.09582	0.0958
Losses P ^L (MW)		14.26	14.635	15.8
Power (MW)	P ₁	502.46	506.816	502.914
	P ₂	252.15	250.956	254.294
	P ₃	109.65	106.863	108.592

Table 2. Results of the emission constrained ED

Cost (\$/hr)	8368.1	
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)	8.9666	
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)	0.0962	
Losses P ^L (MW)	14.39	
Power (MW)	P ₁	519.26
	P ₂	252.33
	P ₃	92.80

The second test system is the CIGRE network described in ref. 8. The system has 10 units with a 1750 MW demand and without transmission losses. The proposed method has been applied to the classical economic dispatch, the SO₂ emission dispatch, the NO_x emission dispatch and the emission constrained economic dispatch for the CIGRE test system. The results of the PM are given in Table 3. As the 3-unit system, the classical ED produces a minimum cost dispatch and the emission dispatches produces a minimum emission levels. The emission constrained economic dispatch produces a reasonable results. The average execution time for the CIGRE system is about 1 sec.

Table 3. Simulation results

Classical Economic Dispatch (minimum cost)	
Cost (million \$ / hr)	3.7006
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)	11.0611
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)	3078.9
Emission SO ₂ Dispatch (Minimum SO ₂)	
Cost (million \$/hr)	3.8075
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)	9.9553
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)	3329.6
Emission NO _x Dispatch (Minimum NO _x)	
Cost (million \$/hr)	3.9151
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)	11.9182
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)	2718.0
Emission Constrained Economic Dispatch	
Cost (million \$/hr)	3.7014
Emission SO ₂ (ton/hr)	11.0387
Emission NO _x (ton/hr)	3052.2

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, an application of the improved Hopfield neural networks [1] to the environmentally economic dispatch problem has been proposed. The proposed method has been applied successfully to the classical economic dispatch, the SO₂ emission dispatch, the NO_x emission dispatch and the emission constrained economic dispatch. The energy function of the Hopfield NN consists of three functions which are the production cost and emissions functions. The proposed method has been tested on a 3-unit system and a 10-unit system. The results show that this method is capable of being applied to the environmentally economic dispatch problem.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Yalcinoz T. and Short M.J., 'Large-scale economic dispatch using an improved hopfield neural network', IEE Proc. Gener. Transm. Distrib., Vol. 144, No. 2, pp. 181-185, March

- 1997.
- [2] Happ H.H., 'Optimal power dispatch – A comprehensive survey', IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst., Vol. PAS-96, pp. 841-854, 1977
- [3] Chowdhury B.H. and Rahman S., 'A review of recent advances in economic dispatch', IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 5, No 4, pp. 1248-1259, Nov. 1990.
- [4] IEEE Current Operating Problems Working Group, 'Potential impacts of clean air regulations on system operations', IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 10, pp. 647-653, 1995.
- [5] Talaq J.H., ElHawary F. And ElHawary ME, 'A Summary of environmental/economic dispatch algorithms', IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 9, pp.1508-1516, Aug 1994.
- [6] Kermanshahi B.S. et al., 'Environmental marginal cost evaluation by non-inferiority surface', IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 1151-1159, Nov. 1990.
- [7] Nanda J. Et al., 'Economic –emission load dispatch through goal programming techniques', IEEE Trans. on Energy Conv. Vol. 3, No 1, pp. 26-32, 1988.
- [8] Granelli G.P. et al., 'Emission constrained dynamic dispatch', Electric Power Systems Research, Vol. 24, pp. 55-64, 1992.
- [9] Kumar J. And Sheble G.B., "Clamped state solution of artificial neural network for real-time economic dispatch", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 925-931, May 1995.
- [10] Maa C.Y. and Shanblatt M.A., "Linear and quadratic programming neural network analysis", IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 580-594, 1992.
- [11] Park J.H., Kim Y.S., Eom I.K. and Lee K.Y., "Economic load dispatch for piecewise quadratic cost function using hopfield neural network", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 1030-1038, 1993.
- [12] King T.D., El-Hawary M.E. and El-Hawary F., "Optimal environmental dispatching of electric power systems via an improved hopfield neural network model", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 1559-1565, Aug. 1995.
- [13] Roa-Sepulveda C.A., et. al, 'Environmental economic dispatch via hopfield neural network and Taboo search', UPEC'96 Universities Power Engineering Conference, Crete, Greece, pp. 1001-1004, 18-20 September, 1996.
- [14] Hopfield J.J. and Tank D.W., "Neural computations of decisions in optimization problems", Biological Cybernetics, Vol. 52, No. 3, pp. 141-152, 1985.
- [15] Gee A.H., Aiyer S.V.B. and Prager R.W., "An analytical framework for optimizing neural networks", Neural Networks, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 79-97, 1993.
- [16] Gee A.H. and Prager R.W., "Polyhedral combinatorics and neural networks", Neural Computation, Vol. 6, pp. 161-180, 1994.
- [17] Wood A.J. and Wollenberg B.F., "Power Generation Operation and Control", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.